## For the Northern District of California

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	STARBOARD COMMERCIAL BROKERAGE
11	INC., No. C 16-06421 WHA
12	Plaintiff,
13	v. NOTICE RE
14	COLLIER'S INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC., individually and as successor in interest to Case
15	Commercial Partners LLC, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,
16	Defendants.
17	
18	In Collier's International's memorandum at page 5 it states:
19	In reality, Collier's International has no direct connection to the
20	contract, referral arrangement, or any of the California work for which commissions may or may not be owed. Collier's
21	International is not a party to the contract; it did not enter into a referral arrangement with Plaintiff; and it did not perform any of
22	the work at issue in this case. Furthermore, it did not acquire Case or assume any of Case's responsibilities or liabilities.
23	It seems impossible to reconcile what counsel have represented against Collier's
24	International's own press release, a most disturbing circumstance to the Court. At least two
25	

calendar days before the oral argument, counsel (both law firms) shall provide a sworn declaration, explaining the extent to which they know of the discrepancy, and shall be prepared to discuss at oral argument.

## Case 3:16-cv-06421-WHA Document 23 Filed 01/23/17 Page 2 of 2

For plaintiff's part, plaintiff shall advise the Court whether or not it will categorically
limit itself to a total recovery of \$75,000 or less and if so both sides shall provide points and
authorities on whether hold such a categorical limitation would defeat removal jurisdiction.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 23, 2017.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE